Tetrahedron Letters, Vol.26, No.39, pp 4781-4784, 1985 0040-4039/85 \$3.00 + .00 Printed in Great Britain ©1985 Pergamon Press Ltd.

CONFORMATIONAL EFFECTS IN ORGANOALUMINUM-PROMOTED PINACOL-TYPE REARRANGEMENT

Keisuke Suzuki, Katsuhiko Tomooka, Masato Shimazaki, and Gen-ichi Tsuchihashi*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi, Yokohama 223, Japan

Conformations of a given molecule pose significant effects over the reactivity and the reaction course, inclusively referred as the "stereoelectronic effects" whose evaluation is essential in designing organic reactions.¹⁾

In the continuing research on the organoaluminum-promoted pinacol-type rearrangement, our attention was focussed on the conformational effects in this 1,2-migration process, mainly from the mechanistic interests. In determining the reaction course of the unsymmetrically-substituted substrate $1 (X \neq Y)$, the migratory aptitude (MA) was the primary factor to give rise to the exclusive migration of X, the group of the higher MA. This rule was valid for Case I, II even when the stereo-center of the migrating terminus was random, which was explained by the flexibility of the seven-membered chelate intermediate.^{2a,b} On the other hand, the conformational effect should be the dominant factor when X and Y are of the similar MA, and hence, are competing (such as in Case III). Here we describe the manifestation of this effect by using the stereo-defined substrate as the probe, leading to the selective migration in Case III.



Stereo-defined β -mesyloxy alcohol <u>5</u> was prepared by way of the chelationcontrolled Grignard reaction.⁴⁾ The diastereomer <u>5</u>' was also prepared by changing the order of the Grignard reactions. By further purification at the stage of <u>4b</u>,⁵⁾ the de's of <u>5</u> and <u>5</u>' were rendered to be over 98 %, respectively.



As the model substrates in hand, the reaction course of the 1,2-migration process was examined: Substrate 5 was allowed to react with organoaluminum reagents to effect the pinacol-type rearrangement, and the ratios of the possible rearranged products $\underline{6a}$ (C_8H_{17} -migration) and $\underline{6b}$ (C_2H_5 -migration) were determined by HPLC.⁶ Fair selectivity ($\underline{6a} / \underline{6b} = 6.3 / 1$) Fig1 for the C₈H₁₇-migration was achieved by utilizing Et₂AlCl, the preferred reagent for the migration of alkyl groups.³⁾ During the course of our study, the possibility arouse that two moles of the aluminum reagent are synergistically involved in the migration process, one for the alkoxide formation, the other as the Lewis-acidic promoter (binary activation: Fig 1). Based on this consideration, the combinational use of the two different organoaluminum reagents was attempted as shown in Table 1. The highest selectivity was achieved by using the DIBAL - Et_AlCl combination: The (i-Bu)₂Al-alkoxide of 5 was first generated by the action of DIBAL on 5 at -78°C, which was successively treated with Et_AlCl to effect the 1,2-migration $(-78^{\circ}C \rightarrow 0^{\circ}C)$. The rearranged products were obtained in their reduced form (by



Table 1.	Effect	of	Reaction	Promoter(s)

			2)
Table	2.	Solvent	Effect ^a

<u>6a</u> / <u>6b</u>	_
6.3 / 1	
8.2 / 1	
5.3 / 1	
4.0 / 1	
14.1 / 1	
	<u>6a</u> / <u>6b</u> 6.3 / 1 8.2 / 1 5.3 / 1 4.0 / 1 14.1 / 1

a) Carried out in CH_2Cl_2 , $-78^{\circ}C \rightarrow 0^{\circ}C$.

 Solvent
 $\underline{6a} / \underline{6b}$
 CH_2Cl_2 14.1 / 1

 $CHCl_3$ 21.2 / 1

 toluene
 10.3 / 1

 hexane
 26.3 / 1

a) Using DIBAL - $Et_{2}A1C1$, -78°C \rightarrow 0°C.

b) Reaction temp.: $-65^{\circ}C \rightarrow 0^{\circ}C$.

the excess DIBAL), which were thoroughly oxidized (PDC / DMF)⁸⁾ back to $\frac{6a}{6b}$ and analyzed. In contrast, substantial decrease of the selectivity was encountered in the cases of the R₂Al - Et₂AlCl combination.

This tendency could be attributable to the readiness and the extent of the alkoxide formation at the low temperature. Actually, the gas-evolution measurements supported this assumption: The degree of the alkoxide formation between 5 and various organoaluminum reagents at -78° C for 1h was as follows: DIBAL (~100 %), Et₃Al (84 %), Et₂AlCl (45 %), and (i-Bu)₃Al (17 %).⁹⁾ Thus, the clean formation of the alkoxide intermediate is essential for the selective migration, which may lead to the restriction of the conformational freedom.¹⁰⁾ These facts are of considerable interest with respect to the mechanism of the organoaluminum-mediated pinacol-type rearrangement.

The selectivity was further optimized by screening the solvent effects; the best selectivity as large as 26 / 1 was observed in hexane (Table 2).

In order to gain further insight, the rearrangement of the isomeric starting material 5' was examined. In these cases, as expected, selective migration of ehtyl group was observed, although the selectivities were lower in comparison with the corresponding cases of 5 under the same definite reaction conditions.



The present situations are rationalized by the chelate model as depicted in Fig 2, judging from the data obtained here and the unlikeliness of the free carbocation intermediate.³⁾ The energy difference of the chelate conformers might be the primary factor in the determining the reaction course by assuming the antiperiplaner relationship between the migrating and the leaving groups. This makes a sharp contrast to the related cases, the deaminative pinacol-type rearrangement, where the ground state conformation is reflected to the intermediate viz. the open carbocation.¹¹



Aside from the mechanistic standpoints, the present feature of this 1,2rearrangement is of potential utility for the asymmetric synthesis of chiral molecules of the general formula $R(CH_3)$ CHCOR', such as 4-methyl-3-heptanone, the alarm pheromone of the leaf-cutting ant, Atta texana.¹²

In summary, the conformational effect in the chelate intermediate directed the reaction course of the organoaluminum-promoted pinacol-type rearrangement. Further study on this process and its application to the synthesis of the chiral natural products is now under way in our laboratory.

References and Notes

- P. Deslongchamps, "Stereoelectronic Effects in Organic Chemistry", Pergamon, Oxford (1983).
- 2) a) K. Suzuki, E. Katayama, & G. Tsuchihashi, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>24</u>, 4997 (1983).

b) K. Suzuki, E. Katayama, & G. Tsuchihashi, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>25</u>, 1817 (1984).

- Rearrangement of alkyl groups was smoothly promoted by Et₂AlCl, however, only the symmetrical cases (X = Y) were described: G. Tsuchihashi, K. Tomooka, & K. Suzuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 4253 (1984).
- 4) W. C. Still & J. H. McDonald, III, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>21</u>, 1031 (1980).
- 5) Preference of the chelation-controlled product was 97/3 in each cases (-78°C, in toulene). Purification was done by converting <u>4b</u> to 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl mono-ester derivative; (1) 3,5-(NO₂)₂C₆H₃COC1/pyr, (2) separation on SiO₂ column (hexane AcOEt = 7/1), (3) NaOH / MeOH.
- 6) Conditions for the HPLC analysis: ZORBAX SIL (Du Pont Instruments, 46 / 250), hexane $CH_2Cl_2 = 20 / 1$.
- 7) K. Suzuki, E. Katayama, T. Matsumoto, & G. Tsuchihashi, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 3715 (1984).
- 8) E. J. Corey & G. Schmidt, Tetrahedron Lett., 1979, 399.
- 9) For the alcoholysis of organoaluminums, see T. R. Crompton & V. W. Reid, Analyst, <u>88</u>, 713 (1963); H. Fujii, I. Tsukuma, T. Saegusa, & J. Furukawa, Makromol. Chem., <u>82</u>, 32 (1965); E. Kohn & J. M. Gill, J. Organomet. Chem., <u>7</u>, 359 (1967).
- 10) The reaction course, that is, the selectivity may be dependent on the ligands on the chelated aluminum (L), however, the net effect of this sort could not be estimated at present due to the incomplete alkoxide formation in the trialkylaluminum cases.
- 11) B. M. Benjamin, H. J. Shaeffer, & C. J. Collins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>79</u>, 6160 (1957); D. Y. Curtin & M. C. Crew, <u>ibid</u>., <u>77</u>, 354 (1955). For the comprehensive accounts; see R. T. Morrison & R. N. Boyd, "Organic Chemistry", 3rd ed., Chapt. 28, pp 885 924, Allyn & Bacon, Boston (1973).
- 12) R. G. Riley, R. M. Silverstein, & J. C. Moser, Science, <u>183</u>, 760 (1974). (Received in Japan 29 June 1985)